DEGRADATION OF AMPHOTERICIN B: CLEAVAGE OF THE GLYCOSIDIC LINKAGE WITH ALUMINUM AMALGAM [A1(Hg)] OR DICHLORODICYANOQUINONE (DDQ)

Robert M. Kennedy, Atsushi Abiko,§ and Satoru Masamune*

Department of Chemistry, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, Massachusetts 02139 U.S.A.

Summary: After appropriate protection of the hydroxyl and other functional groups present in amphotericin B, reductive and oxidative cleavages of the glycosidic linkage are effected with Al(Hg) and DDQ to obtain the C(1)-C(19) fragment and the C(19)-ketone corresponding to the aglycone, respectively.

More than 100 polyenemacrolide antibiotics have been isolated and characterized.¹ Of this large family of antibiotics amphotericin $B(\underline{1})^2$ is the only member whose stereo-structure is clearly defined.^{2b} Perhaps for this reason it has been the object of synthetic³ and degradative⁴ studies in this and other laboratories. This Note describes below two methods that effect smooth (reductive or oxidative) cleavage of the glycosidic linkage of $\underline{1}$ to obtain (i) the C(1)-C(19) fragment which comprises the majority of the stereogenic centers of $\underline{1}$ and (ii) the ketone corresponding to the aglycone. These methods will be, in all likelihood, applicable to degradation of other polyenemacrolides.



Ketalization of the Methyl Ester, N-Acetamide (1a) of Amphotericin B⁵

In conjunction with synthetic studies, Nicolaou and coworkers recently reported the ketalization of <u>la</u> with Me₂C(OMe)₂, MeOH, and camphorsulfonic acid.^{4b} Two major compounds obtained from this reaction were thought to be epimeric at the C(13) center as shown in <u>lb</u> and <u>lc</u>. This assignment was in apparent conflict with our observation that a synthetic pyran intermediate⁶ having a substitution pattern similar to the C(13)-C(17) unit of <u>1</u> showed, upon ketalization [PPTS, CH₂Cl₂, MeC(OMe)₃], a strong preference for the axial methoxy configuration. The following two lines of evidence have, in fact, led us to conclude that the two products obtained upon ketalization of <u>la</u> are five and six-membered acetonides (<u>2</u> and <u>3</u> in Scheme 1). (i) Both <u>2</u> and <u>3</u> were separately hydrolyzed (PPTS, THF, H₂O) to provide hemiketals <u>4</u> and <u>5</u>, which were, again, separately ketalized [PPTS, MeOH, Me₂C(OMe)₂] back to <u>2</u> and <u>3</u>, respectively.⁷ (ii) ¹H COSY spectra for the pentaacetates <u>I</u> and <u>II</u> derived from <u>2</u> and <u>3</u>, respectively, revealed an additional 3.5-4.5 ppm cross peak for <u>II</u>, resulting from coupling between the protons on C(8) and C(9).



Reductive Cleavage of 2 and 3

Cleavage of the glycosidic bond is the single most problematic step in degradation of polyenemacrolides because the allylic ether is more susceptable to acid hydrolysis than the glycosidic bond. Although Nicolaou had reported an ingenious, multi-step sequence for cleavage of the glycosidic linkage, 4b it was anticipated that donation of electrons to the allylic ether moiety would be a more direct solution to this problem (conversion of <u>III</u> to <u>IV</u> in Scheme 2). The mycosamine would then be ejected as an alkoxide with concommitant migration of the olefin(s). Indeed, reduction of a mixture of <u>2</u> and <u>3</u> [Al(Hg), 2% H₂O, 4h, 25°C, 45%]⁸ afforded a mixture of olefinic isomers which were subjected to ozonolysis. The resultant C(19,33)-bis-aldehydes were then reduced with NaBH₄ to provide a mixture of <u>6</u> and <u>7</u> (Scheme 3).

Methanolysis with K₂CO₃ removed the polypropionate [C(33)-C(37)] fragment from <u>6</u> and <u>7</u> to provide the corresponding methyl esters. Silylation in DMF with t-BuMe₂SiCl resulted in the isomers 8 and <u>9</u> which were now readily separated on a multigram scale.



Scheme 3

2



Oxidative Cleavage of 2 and 3

The aglycone with the polyene moiety intact can also be obtained from degradation of amphotericin B. Thus, silylation of acetonides $\underline{2}$ and $\underline{3}$ affords a mixture of compounds $\underline{10}$ and $\underline{11}$ which was treated with DDQ⁹ in dry THF at 25°C to provide $\underline{12}$ and $\underline{13}$ in 50% combined yield (Scheme 4). At this stage the acetonides $\underline{12}$ and $\underline{13}$ were most conveniently separated by flash chromatography. It was noted that upon addition of DDQ, the color of the reaction mixture became intensely red and then partially faded. This observation suggests the formation of a charge-transfer complex and is taken as possible evidence for electron transfer as opposed to hydride transfer. The liberated mycosamyl moiety, probably covalently bound to the reduced DDQ, was not recovered.

Scheme 4



R= Me2^tBuSi

The above two reaction sequences leading to a mixture of $\underline{8}$ and $\underline{9}$ and a mixture of $\underline{12}$ and $\underline{13}$ take advantage of the unique reactivity of the allyl ether in $\underline{1}$, and the products $\underline{9}$ and $\underline{13}$ have served to establish the stereochemistry of synthetic intermediates described in the following Note.

<u>Acknowledgments</u>. We thank the National Institutes of Health for financial support. RMK was an NIH postdoctoral fellow.

References and Footnotes

- § The work of A.A. was carried out at the Chemistry Department, Hokkaido University, Sapporo, Japan.
- For an extensive review on the biochemistry and clinical application of <u>1</u>, see Omura, S. "Macrolide Antibiotics, Chemistry, Biology and Practice", Academic Press, New York, 1984; Chapters 9-12, and references guoted therein.
- (a) Isolation from <u>Streptomycetes nodusus</u>: Vandeputte, J.; Wachtel, J.L.; Stiller, E.T. <u>Antibiot</u>. <u>Annu.</u> <u>1956</u>, 587. (b) X-ray analysis: Ganis, P.; Avitable, G.; Mechlinski, W.; Schaffner, C.P. J. Am. <u>Chem. Soc</u>. <u>1971</u>, 93, 4560.
- 3. See references 1 and 2 in the following Note.
- (a) Nicolaou, K.C.; Chakraborty, T.K.; Daines, R.A.; Simpkins, N.S.; Ogawa, Y.; J. <u>Chem. Soc. Chem. Commun. 1987</u>, 686. (b) Nicolaou, K.C.; Chakraborty, T.K.; Daines, R.A.; Simpkins, N.S.; J. <u>Chem. Soc. Chem. Commun. 1986</u>, 413. (This reference also describes assembly of the fragments obtained by degradation of <u>1</u>. (c) Borowski, E.; Mechlinski, W.; Falkowski, L.; Ziminski, T.; Dutcher, J.D. <u>Tetrahedron Lett. 1965</u>, 473. (d) Cope, A.C.; Axen, U.; Burrows, E.P.; Weinlich, J. J. Am. <u>Chem. Soc. 1966</u>, 88, 4228. (e) Dutcher, J.D.; Walters, D.R.; Wintersteiner, O. J. <u>Org. Chem. 1963</u>, 28, 995. (f) Dutcher, J.D.; Young, M.B.; Sherman, J.H.; Hibbits, W.E.; Walters, D.R. Antibiot. <u>Annu. 1957</u>, 866. (g) von Satza, M.; Dutcher, J.D.; Reid, J.; Wintersteiner, O. J. <u>Org. Chem. 1963</u>, 28, 999. (h) Borowski, E.; Zielinsk, J.; Falkowski, L.; Ziminski, T.; Golik, J.; Kolodziejczk, P.; Jereczek, E.; Gdulewicz, M. <u>Tetrahedron</u> Lett. <u>1971</u>, 685.
- Amphotericin B (E.P. Squibb & Sons, Inc. SQ 9,468 Batch FGNM-029, 4/30/86) was generously supplied through the courtesy of Dr. C. Cimarusti to whom we are grateful.
- 6. See conversion of compound 7 to 8 in the following Note.
- 7. Hydrolysis and reketalization [PPTS, THF, H₂0; then PPTS, MeOH, Me₂C(OMe)₂] resulted in a 1:4.9 ratio of <u>2:3</u> from <u>3</u>, while none of <u>3</u> was obtained from the same treatment of <u>2</u>. Furthermore, extended treatment of <u>1a</u> under the described ketalization conditions [CSA, MeOH, Me₂C(OMe)₂] resulted exclusively in <u>2</u>, albeit in lower yield. These observations are consistent with the conclusion that the C(9,11) acetonide of <u>3</u> migrates to the thermodynamically more stable C(8,9) position to provide <u>2</u>. This migration is likely to have occurred in the synthesis of amphotericin reported by Nicolaou, et al. See conversion of compound <u>10</u> to <u>12</u> in: Nicolaou, K.C.; Daines, R.A.; Chakraborty, T.K. <u>J. Am. Chem. Soc. <u>1987</u>, <u>109</u>, 2208.</u>
- 8. Aluminum foil (0.5 g, 20 strips, 5 x 1 cm) was dipped into 2% aqueous HgCl₂ for 30 sec and washed with H₂O, MeOH and ether successively. The amalgamated aluminum was cut in 1 cm squares into a solution of 1.2 g of a mixture of 2 and 3 in 100 mL of 2% aqueous THF. The mixture was stirred for 4 h, filtered through a pad of Celite and concentrated. The yellow oil was then filtered through SiO₂(CH₂Cl₂/MeOH, 10:1) to afford a pale yellow solution which was concentrated to yield 0.53 g of a yellow oil which was then directly treated with ozone and reduced with sodium borohydride (see Scheme 3) to afford a mixture of 6 and 7.
- A similar oxidative cleavage has been affected through the employment of N-bromosuccinimide (NBS). See reference 4a. (Received in USA 12 November 1987)